## Call for Projects South Carolina

July 1, 2013

Eastern Federal Lands (EFL) approach to administering the Call for Projects Phase of the Access Program

As a result of the recent MAP-21 legislation which established the Federal Aid Access Program (Access Program) and with the receipt of initial program implementation guidance from Federal Lands Highway Headquarters staff (FHWA, HQ), and EFL's understanding of the MAP-21 legislation, the EFL staff has determined that a consistent approach to work processes and procedures be established at this time.

The internal FHWA-EFL staff resources will consist of, but not be limited to, EFLHD, HQ FLH, and the Federal Aid Division Office (DO) for each of the states within the EFLHD geographic area of responsibility. The external staff partners will come from the various Federal Land Management Agencies (FLMAs), State DOTs (SDOTs), local public agencies and/or local government agencies (LPAs and/or LGAs), and possibly the metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) within each of our states. The FLMA partners in each state will consist of the five legislatively defined principal partner agencies, i.e., the US Forest Service (FS), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACOE), the National Park Service (NPS), and the US Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) who own and maintain at least one federal land parcel in that state. The FLMA partners will also include, as appropriate, any other FLMA who owns and maintains a federal land parcel in that state where access to that parcel is provided via any travel mode deemed eligible for the receipt of funds under the Access Program requirements.

Once the Programming Decisions Committee (PDC) is formed and validated by the PDC member agencies, a "Call for Projects" will be advertised for each state administered by EFL. The advertisement will be posted on the EFL website with direct electronic notification to Federal Land Management Agencies.

Reflective of the initial Access Program implementation guidance issued by FLH HQ, the Call for Projects notification will follow a written process for screening and rating the proposed projects. EFL has developed this process that is contained in separate documents. A brief outline of the process follows:

- 1. EFL has established a public website for the Access Program located at: http://www.efl.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/federal-lands-access.aspx
- 2. The PDC will establish the Step 2 rating criteria and point allotments for the application for their respective state.
- 3. EFL will coordinate with each state DOT to determine the Call for Projects schedule and post this schedule on the EFL website, and send notice to the FLMAs.
- 4. EFL will coordinate with the FLMAs, PDC members, and other concerned stakeholders prior to the Call for Projects.
- 5. EFL will announce the Call for Projects and provide the project proposal application on the EFL Access page website or in response to requests from partner agencies.
- 6. Only State, local or tribal entities may submit projects sponsored by the appropriate FLMAs to the PDC.

- 7. A minimum of 30 calendar days will be provided for submittal of applications to EFL.
- 8. State and local sponsors should consider projects that are supported or endorsed by the appropriate FLMA(s). If more than one priority project is identified by an FLMA, that Federal agency should delegate establishment of priorities to their headquarters, regional or state office as appropriate.
- 9. EFL will provide the initial screening of the proposed projects using the process contained in Step 1 of Appendix A of this document.
- 10. The PDC will convene a meeting led by EFL and determine the project rating scores as contained in Step 2 of Appendix A of this document.
- 11. If a member of the PDC has a possible conflict of interest or impartiality on any of the proposed projects, the member should recuse him or herself from the rating and selection process and provide an alternate member from their agency to temporarily replace them.
- 12. All official PDC decisions shall be unanimous.
- 13. Actual ACT 114 prioritization will be used when candidate applications are of the same project type, i.e. all pavement, bridge, or safety, etc. Should this be included in State Goals or SOPs
- 14. All bridge improvement projects shall be submitted as a separate application.
- 15. SCDOT and LPA will coordinate any warranted outreach events with the Municipal Associations and Association of Counties.



## Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division Access Program

## **Project Screening and Rating Criteria for General Projects**

Instructions: If the answer is yes to criteria below, then allocate points. Points are cumulative.

	ve points, otherwise project does not move forward 21: INITIAL SCREENING (max 200 points)	Point allocation
Economic/Visitation Prioritizati		
Do FLMA, StateDOT, and Facility Owner agree that the project is an		45
economic/visitation generator?		
Do FLMA, StateDOT, and Facility Owner agree that the project is a priority?		45
Is Project on current State Transportation Improvement Program?		10
Base**	Point Allocation	
Submitted by facility owner**		40
Project supported by FLMA**		40
20% Match		No points
Leveraging additional funding more than the required 20% match	20% match + (1-10)% of project cost	5
	20% match + (11-20)% of project cost	10
	20% match + (21)% of project cost	20
STEP 2: PR	OJECT RATING (Act 114) (100 Potential Point	ts)
	General Project	Point Allocation
Safety (Max 25 points)		
Base on Crash rate/Cost-Benefit		25
Accessibility and Mobility (Max	25 points) DOES NOT TOTAL 25 POINTS	
Is project improving a congested route (LOS D or worse)		10
Is project serving a primary access route to federal lands property		10
(primary route considered a mai		
property) 10 points		
Is project serving a secondary a		
(secondary route considered as		
federal lands property) 5 points		
Is project Multi-Modal		5
Economic Development (Max 1	5 points) (Input from FLMAs) Select 1 option belo	ow
High Impact Potential (travel benefits/positive? economic impact)= 15 points;		15
Moderate Impact Potential = 10 points; Low Impact Potential = 5 points		
<b>Environmental Quality and Sust</b>	ainability (Max 15 points)	
Low Impact Potential to environmental/cultural resources = 15 points;		15
Moderate Impact Potential = 10		

Con't STEP 2: PROJECT RATING (Act 114)			
General Projects	Point allocation		
Truck Traffic (Max 10 points)			
Greater than 10% of ADT Application does not break out Truck traffic in ADT	10		
Pavement Quality (Max 10 points) (Based on SCDOT PQI score)			
Pavement Condition: Very poor = 10; Poor = 8; Fair = 6; Good = 4; Very Good =	10		
2	10		
*Bridge Condition (Max 10 points) Select 1 option below			
Poor	10		
Fair	5		

<sup>\*</sup>For proposed bridge projects, the bridge condition points will be used in lieu of the pavement quality points. All other projects will be scored using the pavement quality criteria.

-Actual ACT 114 prioritization will be used when candidate applications are of the same project type, i.e. all pavement, bridge, or safety, etc.

## **Project Screening and Rating Criteria for Studies**

STEP 1: INITIAL	SCREENING (Max 200 points)	
(Use original FHWA screening cr		
Base		Point Allocation
Leveraging additional funding more than the required 20% match	20% match + (1-10)% of project cost	5
	20% match + (11-20)% of project cost	10
	20% match + (21)% of project cost	15
Studies and Assessments (100 Potential Points)		Point allocation
Safety (25 points)		
Will address issues related to safety		15
Will consider safety for all users (pedestrians, bicycles, motor vehicles)		10
Accessibility and Mobility (25 p	oints)	
Will consider issues related to traffic congestion		15
Will address mode choice, explore and enhance transit systems (i.e. operation		10
and maintenance of transit facilities)		
Economic Development (25 poi	nts)	
Considers ways of attracting visitation		15
Provides Economic Benefit to the Local Community		10
<b>Environmental Quality and Sust</b>	ainability (25 points)	
Addresses water quality, fish passage and wildlife connectivity		10
Addresses erosion, scour, and/or sedimentation issues		10
Addresses bicycle or pedestrian opportunities		5