December 20, 2024



1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/CC-179

Gerrit Dyke Lindsay Corporation 18135 Burke St Elkhorn, NE 68022 USA

Dear Mr. Dyke:

We received your initial correspondence on November 16, 2023 requesting issuance of a Federal-aid reimbursement eligibility letter under the Federal-aid highway program for the roadside safety system, device, design, product, or hardware (collectively "device") described below. On September 16, 2024, we received a complete set of files needed to complete our review. We write to inform you that the device Tau-XR is eligible for Federal-aid reimbursement. This letter is assigned Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) control number CC-179.

ELIGIBILITY LETTERS

The FHWA issues Federal-aid reimbursement eligibility letters for new roadside safety devices that are crash tested in accordance with the industry standard of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH).

FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States (government) do not regulate roadside safety devices, crash test facilities, or the manufacturing industry. Issuance of eligibility letters is discretionary and provided only as a service to the states. FHWA may, at its discretion, decline to issue, revise, or rescind an eligibility letter. Eligibility letters are only issued by the FHWA headquarters Office of Safety.

Eligibility letters are issued only as notice to the states that a device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. They do not establish approval or certification for any other purpose. Issuance of an eligibility letter is not a prerequisite or requirement for state transportation agencies seeking to use Federal-aid funds for roadside safety devices. State agencies may use a device for which an eligibility letter has not been issued and seek Federal-aid reimbursement.

FEDERAL-AID REIMBURSEMENT

The request for issuance of this letter certified the device was crash tested in accordance with the industry standard of AASHTO's MASH. This eligibility letter is based on that certification and

the material offered in support of its issuance. The device described below is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Name of system: Tau-XR Type of system: Crash Cushion

Test Level: Test Level 3

Testing conducted by: Holmes Solutions LP

Date of request: November 16, 2023

Information about the device, including material such as the eligibility request, crash test reports, drawings, or images are included in one or more attachment(s) to this letter.

In accordance with FHWA's Memo "Federal-aid Reimbursement Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices" dated November 12, 2015, FHWA will make note of any reported damage to a test vehicle's fuel tank, oil pan, or other feature that might serve as a surrogate of the fuel tank. AASHTO's MASH states "Although not a specific factor in assessing test results, integrity of a test vehicle's fuel tank is a potential concern. It is preferable that the fuel tank remains intact and not be punctured. Damage or rupture of the fuel tank, oil pan, or other feature that might serve as a surrogate of the fuel tank should be reported". The test report included in this submittal states the oil pan suffered minor damage causing a leak during tests 3-30 and 3-32.

Eligibility letter CC-179 is inapplicable to devices, optional equipment, alternate materials, or other features that were not crash tested in accordance with AASHTO's MASH.

This letter is issued only for the subject device as crash tested under AASHTO's MASH. Later modification(s) of the device are not eligible for Federal-aid reimbursement under this letter. Notice of later modification(s) should be given to transportation agencies, facility owners, and operators (collectively "agencies").

Agencies should be provided appropriate information about the device's design, installation, maintenance, materials, and mechanical properties.

Issuance of this letter is discretionary, and it may be revised or rescinded at FHWA's discretion. This letter is not a determination of compliance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (MUTCD) or ownership of any intellectual property rights.

This eligibility letter is not a determination by the government that a crash involving the subject device will result in any particular outcome. It is limited to only the device's eligibility for Federal-aid reimbursement.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Issuance of this eligibility letter does not convey property rights of any sort nor any exclusive privilege. This letter is not authorization or consent by the government for the use, manufacture, or sale of any patented or proprietary system, device, design, product, or hardware for which the requester is not the patent owner. Eligibility letters are not an expression of any view, position,

or determination by the government as to the validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device. These letters do not grant, impute, suggest, or otherwise establish any ownership, distribution, or licensing rights to the requester. The government expresses no opinion about the intellectual property rights relating to any device for which this or any other eligibility letter is issued.

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

To prevent any misunderstanding, and as discussed above, this Federal-aid eligibility letter is assigned FHWA control number CC-179. It should only be reproduced in full with its attachment(s). This Federal-aid eligibility letter and the material offered by the requester supporting its issuance is public information. All eligibility letters and supporting material are subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act. Eligibility letters are available to the public at

https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway_dept/countermeasures/reduce_crash_severity/.

If you have any questions, please contact Aimee Zhang at Aimee.Zhang@dot.gov.

Sincerely,

Jessle Yung

Director, Office of Safety Technologies

FHWA Office of Safety

Enclosures

!-!-!

Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	November 16, 2023	○ New	○ Resubmission
_	Name:	Gerrit Dyke		
litte	Company:	Lindsay Corporation		
ngn	Company: Lindsay Corporation Address: 18135 Burke St, Elkhorn, NE 68022 Country: USA			
S				
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies		
		Friva, Office of Safety Technologies		

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

<u>Device & Testing Criterion</u> – Enter from right to left starting with Test Level

Carlana Tana	Culturalization Toron	Davidso Names / Mariant	Tarihan Cibadan	Test
System Type	Submission Type	Device Name / Variant	Testing Criterion	Level
'CC': Crash Cushions,	Physical Crash Testing	Tau-XR	AASHTO MASH	TL3
Attenuators, & Terminals	○ Engineering Analysis	I du-AR		

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	Gerrit Dyke	Same as Submitter
Company Name	Lindsay Corporation	Same As Submitter
Address:	18135 Burke St, Elkhorn, NE 68022	Same as Submitter
Country:	USA	Same as Submitter
Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document.		
Neither Holmes Solutions LP, the test facility, or any of its employees have any financial interest in Lindsay Corporation.		

Same as Submitter

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

New Hardware or	Modification to			
Significant Modification	Existing Hardware			
	ance fully redirective, non-gating crash			
	al for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH	• •		
	ilizes a rigid rail anchoring system, a bac			
	side panels to form 7 collapsible bays. T			
	ubes designed to absorb the kinetic ene			
	panels safely redirect vehicles during significant			
	except at the front nose, which is 36" w	ide, and a length	1 of 282 3/4 . The system	
	ely shield hazards up to 30" wide. dual rail weldment; a compact backstop	accombba a from	at cupports civ mid	
	nt side panels; 15 sliding panels; two en			
	nd various sets of hardware such as nut			
	ninum tubes distributed among the bay			
	retaining bar is installed across each en			
	weldments are anchored to a concrete f			
in place with epoxy.		<u> </u>	,	
	orrugated thrie-beam panels which ena	ble the applicati	on of standard transition	
methods to various roadside ha	rdware and barrier systems. Proprietary	transitions using	g nested angled and	
standard end panels were tested				
The TAU-XR was tested with a delineation decal, delineation may be placed on the delineation plate which is				
part of the front support.				
_	n identification decal for product identi	fication, compor	nent tracking and quality	
control.	I ANI lasas at Alaut davidas davidas adalas ad but l	lindan, ta mani	tan and data at valeigla	
	I AN ImpactAlert device, developed by I via SMS text or e-mail to designated rep			
•	of the backstop. The ImpactAlert is an o		•	
capacity, function, or performan		phonai device t	nat does not affect the	
capacity, function, or performan	ice of the TAO AR.			
	CRASH TESTING			
By signature below the Enginee	r affiliated with the testing laboratory a	aroos in support	of this submission that	
	ash tests for this device listed above we			
	nined that no other crash tests are nece			
the MASH criteria.	nined that no other crash tests are nece	ssary to determi	ne the device meets	
	Mathau McNail	 		
Engineer Name:	Mathew McNeil			
Engineer Signature:	Mathew McNeil		d by Mathew McNeil	
Liigineer Signature.	Matriew Michell	Date: 2023.11.	13 15:09:57 -07'00'	
Address:	7 Canterbury St, Hornby, Christchurch,	8042	Same as Submitter	

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

Country:

New Zealand

Page 3 of 8

		Page 3 of 8
Required Test	Narrative	Evaluation
Number	Description	Results
3-30 (1100C)	MASH 2016 Test 3-30 of Tau-XR. Holmes Solutions test number 144040.05.3-30. Test date August 3, 2023. Holmes Solutions report number 144040.05RP08.23(V1.3). The Tau-XR was impacted by a 2017 Hyundai Accent (1100C) traveling at a velocity of 62.3 mph (100.2 km/h), impacting the test article with the vehicle offset 1/4 of its width, at an angle of 0 degrees on the nose of the crash cushion. Upon impact the vehicle pushed the front support weldment toward the backstop, collapsing the aluminum tubes as the bays compressed. The crash cushion brought the vehicle to a controlled stop. There was no significant deformation or penetration into the vehicles occupant compartment. The vehicle experiences a maximum occupant impact velocity of 35.8 ft/s (10.9 m/2) and a maximum ridedown acceleration of 18.3 g. The maximum roll angle was 6.1 degrees and maximum pitch angle was 9.8 degrees. The working width of the Tau-XR was 37.8 in. (960 mm). The Tau-XR meet all the requirements for	PASS
MASH 2016 test 3-30. MASH 2016 Test 3-31 of Tau-XR. Holmes Solutions test number 144040.05.3-31. Test date July 28, 2023. Holmes Solutions report number 144040.05RP0823(V1.3). The Tau-XR was impacted by a 2018 Dodge Ram 1500 (2270P) traveling at a velocity of 63.2 mph (101.7 km/h), impacting the test article at 0.3 degrees on the nose of the crash cushion. Upon impact the vehicle pushed the front support weldment toward the backstop, collapsing the aluminum tubes as the bays		PASS

		-
Required Test Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
3-32 (1100C)	MASH 2016 Test 3-32 of Tau-XR. Holmes Solutions text number 144040.05.3-32. Test date August 30, 2023. Holmes Solutions report number 144040.05RP0823(V1.3). The Tau-XR was impacted by a 2017 Hyundai Accent (1100C) traveling at a velocity of 61.6 mph (99.1 km/h), impacting the test article at 14.7 degrees on the nose of the crash cushion. Upon impact the vehicle pushed the front support weldment toward the backstop, collapsing the aluminum tubes as the bays compressed. Throughout the test the vehicle is rotating clockwise. The crash cushion brought the vehicle to a controlled stop. There was no significant deformation or penetration into the vehicles occupant compartment. The vehicle experiences a maximum occupant impact velocity of 38.3 ft/s (11.7 m/s) and a maximum ridedown acceleration of 16.0 g. The maximum roll angle was 4.8 degrees and maximum pitch angle was 17.1 degrees. The working width of the Tau-XR was 38 in. (967 mm). The Tau-XR meet all the requirements for MASH 2016 test 3-32.	PASS
3-33 (2270P)	MASH 2016 Test 3-33 of Tau-XR. Holmes Solutions test number 144040.05.3-33. Test date July 26, 2023. Holmes Solutions report number 144050.05RP0823(V1.3). The Tau-XR was impacted by a 2017 Dodge Ram 1500 (2270P) traveling at a velocity of 62.6 mph (100.8 km/h), impacting the test article at 14.7 degrees on the nose of the crash cushion. Upon impact the vehicle pushed the front support weldment toward the backstop, collapsing the aluminum tubes as the bays compressed. Throughout the test the vehicle is rotating clockwise. The crash cushion brought the vehicle to a controlled stop. There was no significant deformation or penetration into the vehicles occupant compartment. The vehicle experiences a maximum occupant impact velocity of 30.5 ft/s (9.3 m/s) and a maximum ridedown acceleration of 15.9 g. The maximum roll angle was 12.8 degrees and maximum pitch angle was 3.9 degrees. The working width of the Tau-XR was 38.7 in. (983 mm). The Tau-XR meet all the requirements for MASH 2016 test 3-33.	PASS

Page 5 of 8

		Page 5 of 8
	MASH 2016 Test 3-34 of Tau-XR. Holmes	
	Solutions test number 144040.05.3-34. Test	
	date September 5, 2023. Holmes Solutions	
	report number 144040.05RP0823(V1.3).	
	The Tau-XR was impacted by a 2017 Kia Rio	
	(1100C) traveling at a velocity of 61.0 mph	
	(98.1 km/h), impacting the test article at 15	
	degrees on the side of the systm 20 in.	
	(508 mm) downstream from the front face	
	of the support weldment.	
	Upon impact the side panels of the system	
	redicrected the vehicle. The vehicle exited at a velocity of 52.6 mph (84.6 km/h) and at an	
3-34 (1100C)	angle of 8.7 degrees. The crash cushion	PASS
	contained and redirected the test vehicle.	
	There was no significant deformation or	
	penetration into the vehicles occupant	
	compartment. The vehicle experiences a	
	maximum occupant impact velocity of 13.1	
	ft/s (4.0 m/s) and a maximum ridedown	
	acceleration of 7.3 g. The maximum roll	
	angle was 5.7 degrees and maximum pitch	
	angle was 3.1 degrees. The wokring width	
	of the Tau-XR was 36 in. (914 mm).	
	The Tau-XR meet all the requirements for	
	MASH 2016 test 3-34.	
	MASH 2016 Test 3-35 of Tau-XR. Holmes	
	Solutions test number 144040.05.3-35. Test	
	date August 22, 2023. Holmes Solutions	
	report number 144040.05RP0823(V1.3).	
	The Tau-XR was impacted by a 2017 Dodge	
	Ram 1500 (2270P) traveling at a velocity of	
	61.4 mph (98.8 km/h), impacting the test	
	article at 24.9 degrees on the side of the	
	system 11.8 in. (300 mm) downstream from	
	the front corner of the front support weldment.	
	Upon impact the side panels of the system	
	redirected the vehicle. The vehicle exited at	
	a velocity of 44.7 mph (72.0 km/h) and at an	
	angle of 15.4 degrees. The crash cushion	
3-35 (2270P)	contained and redirected the test vehicle.	PASS
	The front right of the vehicle along with the	
	right side body panels were damaged. The	
	occupant compartment deformations were	
	within allowable limits. There was no	
	penetration into the vehicles occupant	
	compartment. The vehicle experiences a	
	maximum occupant impact velocity of 25.3	
	ft/2 (7.7 m/s) and a maximum ridedown	
	acceleration of 8.6 g. The maximum roll	
	angle was 26.7 degrees and maximum pitch	
	angle was 8.1 degrees. The working width	
	of the Tau-XR was 38 in. (969 mm).	
	The Tau-XR meet all the requirements for	
	MASH 2016 test 3-35.	

MASH 2016 Test 3-36 of Tau-XR. Holmes Solutions test number 144040,05.3-36. Test date August 15, 2023. Holmes Solutions report number 144040,05RP0823(V1.3). The Tau-XR was impacted by a 2017 Dodge Ram 1500 (2270P) traveling at a velocity of 62.6 mph (10.7 km/h), impacting the test article at 25.0 degrees with the centerline of the test vehicle aligned with the corner of the rigid backstop structure and the center of the 30 in (762 mm) hazard set 11 in. (279 mm) downstream from the back of the backstop anchor plate. Upon impact the side panels of the system redirected the vehicle. The vehicle existed at a velocity of 47.0 mph (75.6 km/h) and at an angle of 16.0 degrees. The crash cushion contained and redicrected the test vehicle. The left front of the vehicle along with the left side body panels were damaged. The occupant compartment deformations were within allowable limits. There was no penetration into the vehicles occupant compartment. The vehicle experiences a maximum oaccupant impact velocity of 27.6 ft/s (8.4 m/s) and a maximum ridedown acceleration of 11.0 g. The maximum roll angle was 31.8 degrees and maximum pitch angle was 31.8 degrees. The working width of the Tau-XR was 37.25 in. (946 mm). The Tau-XR meet all the requirements for MASH 2016 text 3-36.	

3-37 (2270P)	MASH 2016 Test 3-37a of Tau-XR. Holmes Solutions test number 144040.05.3-37a. Test date September 19, 2023. Holmes Solutions report number 144040.05RP0823(V1.3). The Tau-XR was impacted by a 2017 Dodge Ram 1500 (2270P) traveling at a velocity of 62.0 mph (99.8 km/h), impacting the side of the test article at 25.0 degrees in the reverse orientation, approximately 108 in. (2.7 m) upstream of the backstop weldment. Upon impact the side panels of the system redirected the vehicle. The vehicle exited at a velocity of 42.5 mph (68.4 km/h) and at an angle of 20.4 degrees. The crash cushion contained and redirected the test vehicle. The front left side of the vehicle along with the left side body panels were damaged. The occupant compartment deformations were within allowable limits. There was no penetration into the vehicle experiences a maximum occupant impact velocity of 27.4 ft/s (8.3 m/s) and a maximum ridedown acceleration of 9.1 g. The maximum roll angle was 35.2 degrees and maximum pitch angle was 15.2 degrees. The working width of the Tau-XR was 36 in. (914 mm). The Tau-XR meet all the requirements for	PASS
3-38 (1500A)	MAXH 2016 test 3-37a. MASH 2016 Test 3-38 of Tau-XR. Holmes Solutions test number 144040.05.3-38. Test date August 7, 2023. Holmes Solutions report number 144040.05RP0823(V1.3). The Tau-XR was impacted by a 2017 Chevrolet Malibu (1500A) traveling at a velocity of 62.8 mph (101.1 km/h), impacting the test article at 0 degrees on the nose of the crash cushion. Upon impact the vehicle pushed the front support weldment toward the backstop, collapsing the aluminum tubes as the bays compressed. The crash cushion brought the vehicle to a controlled stop. There was no significant deformation or penetration into the vehicles occupant compartment. The vehicle experiences a maximum occupant impact velocity of 34.5 ft/s (10.5 m/s) and a maximum ridedown acceleration of 18.8 g. The maximum roll angle was 4.1 degrees and maximum pitch angle was 6.7 degrees. The working width of the Tau-XR was 39.3 in. (1000 mm). The Tau-XR meet all the requirements for MAXH 2016 test 3-38.	PASS
3-40 (1100C)	Test for non-redirective crash cushion, Not applicable	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-41 (2270P)	Test for non-redirective crash cushion, Not applicable	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

3-42 (1100C)	Test for non-redirective crash cushion, Not applicable	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-43 (2270P)	Test for non-redirective crash cushion, Not applicable	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-44 (2270P)	Test for non-redirective crash cushion, Not applicable	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-45 (1500A)	Test for non-redirective crash cushion, Not applicable	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	Holmes Solutions LP		
Laboratory Signature:	Mathew McNeil	/	ed by Mathew McNeil 1.13 15:17:57 -07'00'
Address:	7 Canterbury St, Hornby, Christchurch, 8042 Same		Same as Submitter
Country:	New Zealand		Same as Submitter
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	1022 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Client Number 7559 April 2023 to April 2024		

Submitter Signature*:

Submit Form

ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligibility Letter		
Number	Date	Key Words