

June 3, 2020

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/WZ-410

Mr. Henry A. Ross Plasticade 100 Howard Avenue Des Plaines, IL 60018 USA

Dear Mr. Ross:

This letter is in response to your February 24, 2020 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number WZ-410 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

Decision

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

• Plasticade® Safetycade® Type I and Type II Barricade

Scope of this Letter

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

Eligibility for Reimbursement

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: Plasticade® Safetycade® Type I and Type II Barricade Type of system: Work Zone Test Level: MASH Test Level 3 (TL3) Testing conducted by: Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI). Date of request: February 24, 2020

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

Notice

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

Standard Provisions

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number WZ-410 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Fuffetl

Michael S. Griffith Director, Office of Safety Technologies Office of Safety

Enclosures

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 1 of 5

Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	February 24, 2020	New	○ Resubmission
	Name:	Henry A. Ross		
ter	Company:	Plasticade		
Submitter	Address:	100 Howard Avenue, DesPlaines, IL 60018		
Suk	Country:	U.S.A.		
	To: Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies			

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Device & TestingCriterion - Enter from right to left starting with TestLevel			!-!-!		!-!-!
SystemType	SubmissionType	Device Name / Va	riant	TestingCriterion	Test Level
WZ:CrashWorthyWorkZon ZoneTrafficControl Devices		Plasticade®Safetyca Type I and Type II Barricade	ide®	AASHTOMASH	TL3

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	ame: Henry A. Ross Sar			
CompanyName: Plasticade SameasSubmitte				
Address: 100 Howard Avenue, DesPlaines, IL 60018 Same as Submitter				
Country: U.S.A. Same as Submitter				
Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document.				
Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) was contracted by Plasticade®to perform full-scale crash testing of the Plasticade®Safetycade®Type I and Type II Barricade. There are no shared financial interests in the Plasticade® Safetycade®Type I and Type II Barricade by TTI, or between Plasticade®and TTI, other than costs involved in the actual crash tests and reports for this submission to FHWA.				
690900-PLP9&10				

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Help					
New Hardwa Significant M	re or Odification	Modification to ExistingHardware			
The test article of connected at the upright and allow the top of the test	consisted of three e bottom with hi v for rotation. A s starticle, bringing veighed a total of	Il Barricade is 42.5 inches tall, 3 e main components made fro inges, steel hardware, and as afety light (Empco-Lite Model g the total height of the barric 35 lb (including 14 lb of intern	om High Density Polyeth pring in each foot to kee 2006 with four D-cell bat ade to 49 inches to the top	vlene (HDPE) plastic ep the sign portion teries) wasattached to o of the safety light.	
		CRASH TEST	ГING		
all of the critical criteria. The Eng	By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash tests are necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.				
Engineer Name	:	D.LanceBullard, Jr., P.E.			
EngineerSignati	ure:	D.LanceBulla		d by D. LanceBullard, Jr. .02 08:41:30 -06'00'	
Address:		3100SH47,Bldg7091,Bryan	TX,77807 SameasSubmitter		
Country:		U.S.A.	SameasSubmitter		
A brief descript	tion of each cras	sh test and its result: Help)		
•		Narrative escription		uation sults	
3-70(1100C)	vehicles is consi zone traffic con- than 220 lb, be- during low-spec standing, lightw acceptable limit Safetycade®Typ traffic control d (including 14 lb the feet (7 lb eac light). Therefore	at Test 3-70 for small idered optional for work- trol devices weighing less cause velocity changes ed impacts with free- reight features will be within is. The Plasticade® be I and Type II Barricade evice weighed 35 lb of internal ballast sand in ch foot) and the 3 lb safety e, MASHTest 3-70 was not his traffic control device.	Non-Critical, not conduc	ted	

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 3 of 5

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 4 of 5

MASHTest 3-72 involves a 2270P vehicle weighing 5000 lb ±110 lb impacting the traffic control device at an impact speed of 62 mi/h ±2.5 mi/h. Per MASH recommendations, the device was tested at critical impact angles (CIAs) of 90° ±1.5° and 0° ±1.5°. The results of test 690900-PLP10 conducted on October 23, 2019 are found in TTI Test Report number 690900-PLP9&10. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.1 mi/h when it contacted the first barricade at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 62.5 mi/h and impact angle of 90° when it contacted the second barrier. Brakes on the	
vehicle were applied after loss of contact with the second barricade, and the vehicle came to rest 420 ft downstream of the impact and 13 ft to the left of the vehicle path. One foot of the first barricade came to	
rest 2 ft downstream of impact and 5 ft to the right of the vehicle path, and the remainder of the barricade came to rest 60 ft downstream of impact and 12 ft to the left of the vehicle path. One foot from the second barricade came to rest 208 ft downstream of impact, and the remainder came to rest 345 ft downstream of impact and 24 ft to the left of the vehicle path. The front bumper, grill, and hood were damaged. The hood sustained two small areas of deformation. There was no damage to the windshield. No occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was observed.	
MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle when impacting lightweight, freestanding work zone traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, therefore the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The Plasticade® Safetycade®Type I and Type II Barricade weighed 35 lb (including 14 lb of internal ballast sand in the feet (7 lb each foot) and the 3 lb safety light). The Safetycade®Type I and Type II Barricade performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with impact angles of 0° and 90°.	

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	TexasA&MTransportation Institute	
LaboratorySignature:	Digitally signed by Darrell L.Kuhn 'Date: 2020.02.2816:45:20-06'00	Kulm
Address:	3100SH47,Bldg7091,Bryan,TX,77807	SameasSubmitter
Country:	U.S.A	SameasSubmitter
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	ISO17025-2017 Laboratory A2LA Certificate Number: 2821.01 Valid To: April 30, 2021	

SubmitterSignature*: Henry Ross

Submit Form

ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligibility Letter		
Number	Date	Key Words





Figure 5.7. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-71 at 0° and 90° on Safetycade® Type I and Type II Barricades

Windshield Damage None



TR No. 690900-PLP9&10

19

Installation Height/Weight ... 49 inches / 35 lb (both include light)

Soil Type and Condition Concrete pavement, damp

Material or Key Elements HDPE feet, HDPE boards, safety light,

and 7-lb ballast sand in each foot

Angle Barricade #2...... 90°

Kinetic Energy #1 & #2 674 & 661 kip-ft

Speed Barricade #1 62.5 mi/h Speed Barricade #2 61.3 mi/h

Max. Exterior Deformation Insignificant

OCDI..... FS0000000

Deformation None


Windshield Damage None

Max. Occupant Compartment

Figure 6.7. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-72 at 0° and 90° on Safetycade® Type I and Type II Barricades.

Exit Conditions


SAFETYCADE[®]



0

Specs	
Composition	High Density Polyethylene
Dimensions	42.5″H x 33″W x 3″D
Colors	White
Weight	29 lbs.
Retroreflective Sheeting	Available in all grades in white and orange

Ballast Boot



Carry Handle

MADE IN THE USA