

Highway Safety Improvement Program Data Driven Decisions

Alaska Highway Safety Improvement Program 2016 Annual Report

Prepared by: AK

Disclaimer

Protection of Data from Discovery & Admission into Evidence

23 U.S.C. 148(h)(4) states "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for any purpose relating to this section [HSIP], shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location identified or addressed in the reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or other data."

23 U.S.C. 409 states "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential accident sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings, pursuant to sections 130, 144, and 148 of this title or for the purpose of developing any highway safety construction improvement project which may be implemented utilizing Federal-aid highway funds shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data."

Table of Contents

Disclaimerii
Executive Summary1
Introduction
Program Structure
Program Administration2
Program Methodology3
Progress in Implementing Projects
Funds Programmed5
General Listing of Projects
Progress in Achieving Safety Performance Targets
Overview of General Safety Trends17
Application of Special Rules
Assessment of the Effectiveness of the Improvements (Program Evaluation)
SHSP Emphasis Areas
Groups of similar project types
Systemic Treatments
Project Evaluation
Glossary

Executive Summary

Under the Alaska Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), the Alaska DOT&PF identifies high risk intersections and roads, scopes and prioritizes corrective projects, funds the most cost -effective projects, and evaluates actual project and program effectiveness. HSIP dollars are distributed to the most effective projects from a single statewide fund. The purpose of the Alaska HSIP is to "maximize lives saved and major injuries eliminated per dollar spent."

Regional Traffic and Safety personnel identify, scope, estimate, and rank candidate projects according to benefit-cost ratio (ranked projects) and potential for crash reduction (non-ranked projects). HQ Traffic & Safety reviews proposed new projects, works with the regions to clarify project description and scope, and submits recommended projects to DOT&PF's Chief Engineer for approval. Following approval of new HSIP projects, HQ Traffic and Safety selects the most effective projects and proposes a statewide HSIP funding plan for the coming federal fiscal year for approval by the Chief Engineer and the Director of Program Development.

The HSIP funding plan typically includes a blend of on-going projects and new projects. Regions design and construct funded projects and generate before-after studies when three years of post-improvement crash data becomes available. HQ Traffic & Safety manages funding for the statewide HSIP, annually updates the HSIP Handbook, maintains program effectiveness data, and produces the annual HSIP report.

Important Note on Performance Measures calculated by Online Reporting Tool: Alaska does not yet have serious injury data for 2014 and 2015. Alaska's serious injury performance measures for 2014 and 2015 will be updated when the data for those years are finalized.

Introduction

The Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) is a core Federal-aid program with the purpose of achieving a significant reduction in fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. As per 23 U.S.C. 148(h) and 23 CFR 924.15, States are required to report annually on the progress being made to advance HSIP implementation and evaluation efforts. The format of this report is consistent with the HSIP MAP-21 Reporting Guidance dated February 13, 2013 and consists of four sections: program structure, progress in implementing HSIP projects, progress in achieving safety performance targets, and assessment of the effectiveness of the improvements.

Program Structure

Program Administration

How are Highway Safety Improvement Program funds allocated in a State?

Central

Describe how local roads are addressed as part of Highway Safety Improvement Program.

Safety projects on all public roads in Alaska are eligible to compete for HSIP funding. The same process is used to prioritize projects on both state and non-state (including local) roads.

Identify which internal partners are involved with Highway Safety Improvement Program planning.

Design Planning Maintenance Operations Governors Highway Safety Office

Briefly describe coordination with internal partners.

Design: Regional Traffic and Safety personnel identify, scope, estimate, and rank candidate projects according to benefit-cost ratio (ranked projects) and potential for crash reduction (non-ranked projects).

HQ Traffic & Safety reviews proposed new projects, works with the regions to clarify project description and scope, and submits recommended projects to the DOT&PF Chief Engineer for funding approval.

Planning: Funding plan developed in coordination with the Office of Program Development.

Maintenance and Operations: M&O staff consulted to determine alternative project nominations where safety problems may exist despite the lack of historic crash data.

Governors Highway Safety Office: Split penalty transfer funding to address engineering solutions to highway safety.

Identify which external partners are involved with Highway Safety Improvement Program planning.

Other-Municipality of Anchorage

Other-City of Fairbanks Other-FHWA

Identify any program administration practices used to implement the HSIP that have changed since the last reporting period.

Other-new project approval

Under terms of the new stewardship and oversight agreement, approval of eligible projects is delegated to the state. DOT&PF's Chief Engineer now approves new project nominations for funding eligibility.

Describe any other aspects of Highway Safety Improvement Program Administration on which you would like to elaborate.

No response.

Program Methodology

Select the programs that are administered under the HSIP.

Other-Entire HSIP

Program:	Other-Entire HSIP		
Date of Program Methodology:	1/1/2016		
What data types were used in the	e program methodology	?	
Crashes	Exposure	Roadway	
All crashes	Volume		
What project identification meth	odology was used for thi	is program?	
Crash frequency			
Crash rate			
Critical rate			

Are local roads (non-state owned and operated) included or addressed in this program?

Yes

If yes, are local road projects identified using the same methodology as state roads? Yes

How are highway safety improvement projects advanced for implementation? Competitive application process

Select the processes used to prioritize projects for implementation. For the methods selected, indicate the relative importance of each process in project prioritization. Enter either the weights or numerical rankings. If weights are entered, the sum must equal 100. If ranks are entered, indicate ties by giving both processes the same rank and skip the next highest rank (as an example: 1, 2, 2, 4). Rank of Priority Consideration

Ranking based on B/C	90
Available funding	10

What proportion of highway safety improvement program funds address systemic improvements?

54%

Highway safety improvement program funds are used to address which of the following systemic improvements?

Traffic Control Device Rehabilitation Pavement/Shoulder Widening Install/Improve Signing Install/Improve Pavement Marking and/or Delineation Add/Upgrade/Modify/Remove Traffic Signal

What process is used to identify potential countermeasures?

Engineering Study

Identify any program methodology practices used to implement the HSIP that have changed since the last reporting period.

Other-none

Describe any other aspects of the Highway Safety Improvement Program methodology on which you would like to elaborate.

-Data Used: Intersection screening is based on all crashes, with an emphasis on fatalities and major injuries. Segment screening is based on fatalities and major injuries.

-Project Identification: Project identification results from intersection and segment crash screening, initial project scope, cost estimate, and estimated crash reduction.

-Countermeasures implemented this year: Railway-Highway grade separations, High-Friction Surface Treatments, illumination, roadway signing and delineation, intersection/signal improvements -Spot vs. System wide improvements: About 54% of current year project funding addressed system wide improvements. Regional engineers rank segments or corridors (the systems) within their region based on crash performance, and evaluate whether implementing a particular countermeasure throughout the segment (system) would improve crash performance.

-HSIP/SHSP Alignment: All HSIP projects align with SHSP emphasis areas.

-Project Prioritization Process: Project prioritization is based on cost of crashes eliminated. Using crash cost results in a greater emphasis on severe crashes. For "ranked" projects, prioritization is based on benefit-cost ratio (estimated cost of crashes eliminated / cost of construction and maintenance). For "non-ranked" projects, prioritization is based on a subjective estimate of potential for reducing severe crashes.

Progress in Implementing Projects

Funds Programmed

Reporting period for Highway Safety Improvement Program funding.

Federal Fiscal Year

Funding Category	Programmed*		Obligated	
HSIP (Section 148)	\$49,414,649.00	64 %	\$33,865,009.00	54 %
HRRR Special Rule	\$900,000.00	1 %	\$975,178.00	2 %
Penalty Transfer - Section 154	\$10,415,000.00	14 %	\$12,419,997.00	20 %
Penalty Transfer – Section 164	\$10,415,000.00	14 %	\$11,489,287.00	18 %

Enter the programmed and obligated funding for each applicable funding category.

State and Local Funds	\$5,590,517.00	7 %	\$3,484,019.00	6 %
Totals	\$76,735,166.00	100%	\$62,233,490.00	100%

How much funding is programmed to local (non-state owned and operated) safety projects? \$6,949,105.00 How much funding is obligated to local safety projects? \$6,067,654.00

How much funding is programmed to non-infrastructure safety projects? \$6,533,040.00 How much funding is obligated to non-infrastructure safety projects? \$1,011,500.00

How much funding was transferred in to the HSIP from other core program areas during the reporting period? \$0.00 How much funding was transferred out of the HSIP to other core program areas during the reporting period? \$0.00

Discuss impediments to obligating Highway Safety Improvement Program funds and plans to overcome this in the future.

HSIP projects are often smaller projects that must compete with other state priorities for the same resources (personnel, equipment, etc.) as the larger projects in the state. Strategies for overcoming these impediments include bundling projects in the construction phase with larger projects, and consider program revisions to allow leveraging HSIP funds by combining with other eligible federal funding.

Describe any other aspects of the general Highway Safety Improvement Program implementation progress on which you would like to elaborate.

No response.

General Listing of Projects

List each highway safety improvement project obligated during the reporting period.

Project	Improvement Category	Outpu t	HSIP	Total Cost	Fundi	Functional Classificati	AAD T	Spe ed	Roadwa v	Relationsh	ip to SHSP
		ſ	cost	CUST	Categ ory	on		Cu	y Owners hip	Emphasis Area	Strategy
HSIP: COLLEGE ROAD RIGHT TURN LANES	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	381485	381485	Penalt y Transf er - Sectio n 154	Urban Minor Arterial	140 76	35	State Highwa Y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address inter
Fairbanks Area Signal Upgrades (combines 10NR01, 1	Intersection traffic control Modify traffic signal - add flashing yellow arrow	57 Numb ers	2030615 6.2	2239961 8	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Mixed FCs	0	0	State Highwa y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address inter
Parks Highway Rest Areas	Parking Truck parking facilities	29.759 Miles	244896	244896	Penalt y Transf er - Sectio n 154	Rural Principal Arterial - Other	0	0	State Highwa y Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address run-o
Copper River	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway	36.3 Miles	135705	135705	Penalt y	Rural Major	0	0	State Highwa	Roadway Departur	Implemen t

Highway Signing and Striping	signs and traffic control - other				Transf er – Sectio n 164	Collector			y Agency	е	infrastruct ure projects to address run-o
Fairbanks Area Signing and Striping	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs and traffic control - other	86.561 Miles	290278	290278	Penalt y Transf er – Sectio n 164	Mixed FCs	0	0	State Highwa Y Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address run-o
NR Parks Highway Signing and Striping	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs and traffic control - other	181.06 8 Miles	541438	541438	Penalt y Transf er – Sectio n 164	Rural Principal Arterial - Other	0	0	State Highwa y Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address run-o
Eastern Alaska Named Highways Signing and Striping	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs and traffic control - other	388.2 Miles	2541520	2541520	Penalt y Transf er – Sectio n 164	Mixed FCs	0	0	State Highwa y Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address run-o
Dalton, Elliott and Steese Highways Signing and St	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs and traffic control - other	711.1 Miles	2234414	2234414	Penalt y Transf er – Sectio n 164	Mixed FCs	0	0	State Highwa Y Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address run-o

9

Parks Hwy MP 321 Speed Feedback Sign	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs and traffic control - other	1 Numb ers	5874	5874	Penalt Y Transf er – Sectio n 164	Rural Principal Arterial - Other	148 5	65	State Highwa y Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address run-o
Fox Intersectio n Conspicuity Improveme nts	Roadway Rumble strips - transverse	1 Numb ers	67500	75000	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Rural Principal Arterial - Other	370 0	55	State Highwa Y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address inter
College Median Extension	Access management Median crossover - close crossover	0.2 Miles	180000	200000	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	150 36	35	State Highwa y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address inter
Badger Road Two Way Left Turn Lane	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add two-way left-turn lane	10 Miles	378000	420000	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Rural Minor Arterial	960 0	55	State Highwa Y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address inter
Richardson Hwy MP 351 Interchang e	Interchange design Convert at-grade intersection to interchange	1 Numb ers	837000	930000	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Rural Principal Arterial - Other	168 58	55	State Highwa Y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address

											inter
Fairbanks Ramp Sight Distance Improveme nts	Alignment Horizontal and vertical alignment	3 Numb ers	182700	203000	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Mixed FCs	0	0	State Highwa y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address inter
Phillips Field Road Safety Improveme nts	Roadside Roadside grading	0.65 Miles	450000	450000	Penalt y Transf er - Sectio n 154	Urban Major Collector	512 0	40	Other State Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address run-o
HSIP: 36th Ave, Arctic to C St 5 Lane Conversion t	Intersection geometry Intersection geometrics - miscellaneous/other/uns pecified	0.75 Miles	249917. 4	277686	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	All FCs - channeliza tion & other geometric improvem ents at multiple locations	0	0	City of Municip al Highwa y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address inter
Lake Otis Parkway @ 68th Avenue Channeliza tion Imp	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add left- turn lane	1 Numb ers	384165. 9	426851	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	260 54	45	City of Municip al Highwa Y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address inter
Son of Downtown	Intersection geometry Intersection geometrics -	18 Numb	3958408	4387120	HSIP (Sectio	Mixed FCs	0	0	City of Municip	Intersecti ons	Implemen t

Anchorage Curb Bulb Project	modify intersection corner radius	ers			n 148)				al Highwa Y Agency		infrastruct ure projects to address inter
Johns Road and Klatt Road Intersectio n	Intersection traffic control Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout	1 Numb ers	4102363 .6	4384935	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Urban Minor Collector	101 53	40	City of Municip al Highwa y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address inter
Muldoon Road Channeliza tion Improveme nts: 11th Cou	Access management Raised island - install new	0.75 Miles	375671	375671	Penalt y Transf er - Sectio n 154	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	0	40	State Highwa y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address inter
CR Traffic Safety Corridor Left Turn Lanes	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add left- turn lane	3 Numb ers	300000	300000	Penalt y Transf er - Sectio n 154	Rural Principal Arterial - Other	0	55	State Highwa y Agency	Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address rear
Kodiak Bridge Rail Upgrades	Roadside Barrier - other	18 Numb ers	3690276	3690276	Penalt y Transf er - Sectio n 154	Rural Major Collector, Rural Minor Collector	0	0	State Highwa y Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to preemptiv ely

Central Region Sign Assembly Complianc e Improveme n 54474	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	2100 Numb ers 18	73617.2 91 101109	81796.9 9 101109	HSIP (Sectio n 148) Penalt	Mixed FCs Mixed FCs	0	0	State Highwa Y Agency State	Roadway Departur e Intersecti	Implemen t infrastruct ure to improve signing/de lin Implemen
Flashing Yellow Arrows - Kenai and Mat-Su	control Modify traffic signal - add flashing yellow arrow	Numb ers			y Transf er – Sectio n 164				Highwa Y Agency	ons	t infrastruct ure to address intersecti on c
Sterling Highway Shoulder Widening - Soldotna to C	Shoulder treatments Widen shoulder - paved or other	20.3 Miles	324800	324800	Penalt Y Transf er - Sectio n 154	Rural Principal Arterial - Other	467 7	55	State Highwa Y Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure to address SVROR and head
Eklutna Overpass Low Bridge Warning System	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	1 Numb ers	780632	780632	Penalt y Transf er – Sectio n 164	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	299 50	65	State Highwa y Agency	Roadway s	Implemen t infrastruct ure to address existing highw
16th Avenue @ A Street Channeliza tion Improveme	Intersection geometry Intersection geometrics - modify intersection corner radius	0	22458.6	24954	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	0	0		Intersecti ons	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address

nts											inter
SGY Dyea Road Improveme nts	Roadway Roadway widening - curve	6 Numb ers	27000	30000	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Rural Minor Collector	243	25	State Highwa y Agency	Lane Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address head-
KTN - North Tongass Highway Illuminatio n Upgrade	Lighting Continuous roadway lighting	4.876 Miles	5140482 .92	5140482 .92	Penalt y Transf er - Sectio n 154	Urban Minor Arterial	0	0	State Highwa y Agency	Lane Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address night
JNU - Glacier Highway Safety Improveme nts	Roadside Barrier- metal	0.6 Miles	470933. 2	476933. 2	Penalt y Transf er – Sectio n 164	Rural Minor Arterial	112 0	50	State Highwa y Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address run-o
KTN - N. Tongass Highway Delineation Improveme nts	Roadway delineation Roadway delineation - other	6.2 Miles	147588. 777	163987. 53	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	Rural Major Collector	0	0	State Highwa y Agency	Roadway Departur e	Implemen t infrastruct ure projects to address run-o
FFY14-16 STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SAFETY	Non-infrastructure Non- infrastructure - other	1 Numb ers	251000	251000	Penalt y Transf er –	N/A	0	0	N/A	Roadway s	See "Supporti ng Text" for

PLAN IMPLEMEN TAT					Sectio n 164						relavant strategy
SR FFY16- 17 HSIP/SMS	Non-infrastructure Non- infrastructure - other	1 Numb ers	0	0	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	N/A	0	0	N/A	Roadway s	See "Supporti ng Text" for relavant strategy
FFY 16-17 HSIP Safety Manageme nt	Non-infrastructure Non- infrastructure - other	1 Numb ers	0	0	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	N/A	0	0	N/A	Roadway s	See "Supporti ng Text" for relavant strategy
CR: SMS/HSIP Program 2015-2017	Non-infrastructure Non- infrastructure - other	1 Numb ers	0	0	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	N/A	0	0	N/A	Roadway s	See "Supporti ng Text" for relavant strategy
Kenai Peninsula Systemic Maintenan ce Decision Supp	Non-infrastructure Data/traffic records	1 Numb ers	414450	460500	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	N/A	0	0	N/A	Roadway s	See "Supporti ng Text" for relavant strategy
Third Party Data Entry for APD Crash Reports	Non-infrastructure Data/traffic records	1 Numb ers	270000	300000	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	N/A	0	0	N/A	Roadway s	See "Supporti ng Text" for relavant strategy

Progress in Achieving Safety Performance Targets

Overview of General Safety Trends

Present data showing the general highway safety trends in the state for the past five years.

Performance Measures*	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Number of fatalities	67.2	62.6	60.4	62.2	64
Number of serious injuries	429.8	414.4	403.6		
Fatality rate (per HMVMT)	1.38	1.31	1.26	1.3	1.33
Serious injury rate (per HMVMT)	8.82	8.63	8.43		

*Performance measure data is presented using a five-year rolling average.



Number of Fatalities for the Last Five Years 5-yr Average Measure Data





Rate of Fatalities for the Last Five Years 5-yr Average Measure Data







Alaska does not yet have serious injury data for 2013 and 2014. Alaska's serious injury performance measures for 2014 and 2015 will be updated when the data for those years are finalized.

To the maximum extent possible, present performance measure* data by functional classification and ownership.

Eurotion Classification	Number of fatalities	Number of corious injuries	Estality rate (per HNA)/NAT)	Sorious inium, rate (nor HNA)/NAT)
Function Classification	Number of fatalities	Number of serious injuries		Serious injury rate (per nivivivir)
RURAL PRINCIPAL	13.2		1.42	
ARTERIAL - INTERSTATE				
RURAL PRINCIPAL	5.8		0.68	
ARTERIAL - OTHER				
RURAL MINOR	1.6		1.11	
ARTERIAL				
RURAL MINOR	3.6		2.46	
COLLECTOR				
RURAL MAJOR	6		1.97	
COLLECTOR				
RURAL LOCAL ROAD OR	4.6		1.31	
STREET				
URBAN PRINCIPAL	5.8		0.87	
ARTERIAL - INTERSTATE				
URBAN PRINCIPAL	7.2		0.57	
ARTERIAL - OTHER				
URBAN MINOR	6.2		1.21	

Year - 2015

ARTERIAL			
URBAN MINOR COLLECTOR	3.6	2.2	
URBAN MAJOR COLLECTOR	0.4	0.17	
URBAN LOCAL ROAD OR STREET	2	0.69	
OTHER	0.6		
MISSING FC	0.33		

Fatalities by Roadway Functional Classification 5-yr Average Measure Data



Roadway Functional Classification

Serious Injuries by Roadway Functional Classification 5-yr Average Measure Data



Fatality Rate by Roadway Functional Classification 5-yr Average Measure Data



Roadway Functional Classification

Serious Injury Rate by Roadway Functional Classification 5-yr Average Measure Data



Roadway Functional Classification

Year - 2015

Roadway Ownership	Number of fatalities	Number of serious injuries	Fatality rate (per HMVMT)	Serious injury rate (per HMVMT)
STATE HIGHWAY AGENCY	50			
TOWN OR TOWNSHIP HIGHWAY AGENCY	0.2			
CITY OF MUNICIPAL HIGHWAY AGENCY	3.4			
OTHER/UNKNOWN	3			
BOROUGH	6.6			
FEDERAL	0.8			

Number of Fatalities by Roadway Ownership 5-yr Average Measure Data



Roadway Functional Classification

Number of Serious Injuries by Roadway Ownership 5-yr Average Measure Data



Roadway Functional Classification

Describe any other aspects of the general highway safety trends on which you would like to elaborate.

No response.

Application of Special Rules

Present the rate of traffic fatalities and serious injuries per capita for drivers and pedestrians over the age of 65.

Older Driver	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Performance Measures					
Fatality rate (per capita)	0.09	0.1	0.1	0.08	0.07
Serious injury rate (per capita)	0.4	0.47	0.52	0.53	
Fatality and serious injury rate (per capita)	0.48	0.56	0.62	0.61	

*Performance measure data is presented using a five-year rolling average.

Alaska does not yet have Older Driver Serious Injury data for 2014.

Compute five year rolling average rates for Older Drivers and Pedestrians.

Tabulate Annual totals for a. Fatal Driver, b. Fatal Ped, c. SI Driver, d. Serious Injury Ped and e. Total of Fatal/SI drivers and Peds.

Population Figures were provided in Attachment 2 of, "Section 148: Older Drivers and Pedestrians Special Rule Final Guidance", published May 19, 2016 (https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/map21/guidance/guideolder.cfm).

Compute annual rates for each grouping for years 2006 through 2014 using Population Figures for the applicable year (F+MI 2008/ PopFig 2008).

Used upload template. 5-yr rolling averages computed by the ORT.

Rate of Fatalities and Serious injuries for the Last Five Years 5-yr Average Measure Data



Last year Alaska could not report on 2013 serious injuries. Consequently, we didn't have the data to determine whether we triggered this special rule. We only received 2013 data in May 2016. Looking back we can determine that Alaska would have triggered the special rule last year. We will continue to enhance older driver safe driving programs as described in the Alaska Strategic Highway Safety Plan - 2013 Revision. We will identify and implement appropriate engineering strategies to address high-crash locations involving older drivers and pedestrians. Actions of this strategy emphasize engineering measures described in FHWA Highway Design Handbook for Older Drivers and Pedestrians from among other applicable countermeasures. Among other countermeasures Alaska's obligated funding for this year to address older driver and pedestrian crashes are the installation of flashing yellow arrows, illumination, signal retroreflective back plates, and railroad-highway grade crossing elimination.

Does the older driver special rule apply to your state?

No

Alaska does not have serious injury data for 2014. Alaska will provide the 2014 older driver data and resulting calculations in a amendment report when the data become available. Our response to question 28 may change at that time.

Assessment of the Effectiveness of the Improvements (Program Evaluation)

What indicators of success can you use to demonstrate effectiveness and success in the Highway Safety Improvement Program?

Benefit/cost

If 'benefit/cost', indicate the overall Highway Safety Improvement Program benefit/cost ratio.

3.4:1

What significant programmatic changes have occurred since the last reporting period?

None

Briefly describe significant program changes that have occurred since the last reporting period.

No response.

SHSP Emphasis Areas

For each SHSP emphasis area that relates to the HSIP, present trends in emphasis area performance measures.

HSIP-related SHSP	Target	Number of	Number of	Fatality rate (per	Serious injury rate	Other-	Other-	Other-
Emphasis Areas	Crash Type	fatalities	serious injuries	HMVMT)	(per HMVMT)	1	2	3
Lane Departure		21		0.43				
Roadway Departure		20.6		0.43				
Intersections		14.2		0.3				
Pedestrians		10		0.21				
Bicyclists		1.4		0.03				
Older Drivers		4.4		0.09				
Motorcyclists		9.4		0.19				
Work Zones		1		0.02				

Year - 2015





Groups of similar project types

Present the overall effectiveness of groups of similar types of projects.

			Tear	LUIJ				
HSIP Sub- program Types	TargetNumber ofNumber ofFaCrash Typefatalitiesserious injuriesHI		Fatality rate (per HMVMT)	Serious injury rate (per HMVMT)	Other- 1	Other- 2	Other- 3	
Other-Entire HSIP		64		1.33				

Year - 2015

Alaska does not yet have serious injury data for 2014 and 2015. Alaska's serious injury performance measures for 2013 and 2014 will be updated when the data for those years are finalized.

Systemic Treatments

Present the overall effectiveness of systemic treatments.

Systemic improvement	Target Crash Type	Number of fatalities	Number of serious injuries	Fatality rate (per HMVMT)	Serious injury rate (per HMVMT)	Other-1	Other-2	Other-3				
SKIP	Alaska is still building the capacity to analyze the data and measure the performance of systemic improvements. We recognize the benefits, but right now we're approving systemic projects on a case by case basis, not as a fully realized											

program.

Alaska is still building the capacity to analyze the data and measure the performance of systemic improvements. We recognize the benefits, but right now we're approving systemic projects on a case by case basis, not as a fully realized program.

Describe any other aspects of the overall Highway Safety Improvement Program effectiveness on which you would like to elaborate.

No response.

Project Evaluation

Provide project evaluation data for completed projects (optional).

Location	Functiona I Class	Improvemen t Category	Improvement Type	Bef- Fata I	Bef- Seriou s Injury	Bef-All Injurie s	Bef- PD O	Bef- Tota I	Aft- Fata I	Aft- Seriou s Injury	Aft-All Injurie s	Aft- PD O	Aft- Tota I	Evaluatio n Results (Benefit/ Cost Ratio)
08CR08: Anchorage - Dowling Rd	Urban Principal Arterial - Othor	Intersection geometry	Intersection geometry - other			18	86	104			3	22	25	5.91:1
@ Seward Hwy	Otner													
08CR11: Various Intersection s in the Kenai, Kodiak Island, and Mat-Su Boroughs	Mixed FC	Pedestrians and bicyclists	Pedestrian signal - modify existing		4	13	4	21			5	4	9	5:39:1

07CR02: Anchorage - Boniface Pkwy @ Mountain View Dr	Urban Minor Arterial	Intersection traffic control	Modify control - remove right- turn yield		1	14	42	57		1	3	4	8.55:1
07CR04: Anchorage - Homer Dr @ 74th Ave Seward Hwy Off Ramp	Urban Minor Collector	Access management	Median crossover - close crossover			5	5	10		1	3	4	1.76:1
07CR05: Anchorage - 6th Ave @ H St	Urban Minor Arterial	Intersection traffic control	Intersection signing - miscellaneous/other/unspecifi ed			2	23	25		1	1	2	0.35:1
07CR07: Anchorage - Brayton Dr @ Lore Rd (76th Ave)	Urban Minor Collector	Intersection geometry	Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1	2	12	18	33		3	2	5	3.38:1

07CR08: Anchorage - 4th Ave @ Eagle St	Urban Minor Arterial	Intersection geometry	Intersection geometrics - modify intersection corner radius	1	11	12	24		1	4	5	9.8:1
07CR09: Anchorage - 6th Ave @ Fairbanks St	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	Intersection geometry	Intersection geometrics - modify intersection corner radius	1	7	14	22					12.05:1
06CR01: Anchorage - 5th Ave @ C St	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	Intersection geometry	Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane	3	15	34	52		2	5	7	9.78:1
06CR02: Anchorage - Debarr Rd: Boniface Pkwy to Beaver Pl (Mile Point 2.1-2.6)	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	Access management	Access management - other	6	25	34	65		3	3	6	8:56:1

06CR03: Anchorage - Dimond Blvd @ Jewel Lake Rd	Urban Minor Arterial	Intersection geometry	Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane		1	11	15	27		3	1	4	2.04:1
06CR04: Anchorage - Dimond Blvd @ SB Minnesota Dr	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal - miscellaneous/other/unspecifi ed		1	5	16	22			1	1	10.54:1
06CR05: Anchorage - Seward Hwy @ 36th Ave	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	Interchange design	Interchange design - other		3	45	85	133		10	23	33	6.84:1
06CR07: Anchorage - DeArmoun Rd @ Hillside Dr	Urban Major Collector	Intersection traffic control	Intersection flashers - add overhead (continuous)	1	4	3	5	13					8.19:1

06CR08: Mat-Su - Lucille St @ Spruce St	Urban Minor Arterial	Lighting	Site lighting - intersection	1	2	10	14	27			1	1	5.56:1
03CR06: Mat-Su - Old Glenn Hwy: Matanuska River Bridge to Glenn Hwy (Mile Point 16.9- 18.4)	Rural Minor Arterial	Intersection geometry	Auxiliary lanes - add two-way left-turn lane		3	33	78	114	1	2	10	13	1.3:1
JNU Whittier & Willoughby	Urban Principal Arterial - Other	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal - miscellaneous/other/unspecifi ed		4	15	20	39			3	3	4.35:1

SEA Tfc Sig	Mixed FC	Pedestrians	Pedestrian signal - install new	6	13	3	22	1	1	1	3	95.44:1
Ped Impv		and bicyclists	at intersection									
KTN N Tong Hwy Imp	Urban Minor Arterial	Roadway	Roadway widening - curve	1	7	6	14			1	1	3.02:1

Optional Attachments

Sections Program Structure: Program Administration Program Structure: Program Administration **Files Attached**

L 8-26-16 HSIP Ann Report Cover signed.pdf HSIP Hdbk 16th Ed 010116.pdf

Glossary

5 year rolling average means the average of five individual, consecutive annual points of data (e.g. annual fatality rate).

Emphasis area means a highway safety priority in a State's SHSP, identified through a data-driven, collaborative process.

Highway safety improvement project means strategies, activities and projects on a public road that are consistent with a State strategic highway safety plan and corrects or improves a hazardous road location or feature or addresses a highway safety problem.

HMVMT means hundred million vehicle miles traveled.

Non-infrastructure projects are projects that do not result in construction. Examples of noninfrastructure projects include road safety audits, transportation safety planning activities, improvements in the collection and analysis of data, education and outreach, and enforcement activities.

Older driver special rule applies if traffic fatalities and serious injuries per capita for drivers and pedestrians over the age of 65 in a State increases during the most recent 2-year period for which data are available, as defined in the Older Driver and Pedestrian Special Rule Interim Guidance dated February 13, 2013.

Performance measure means indicators that enable decision-makers and other stakeholders to monitor changes in system condition and performance against established visions, goals, and objectives. **Programmed funds** mean those funds that have been programmed in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to be expended on highway safety improvement projects.

Roadway Functional Classification means the process by which streets and highways are grouped into classes, or systems, according to the character of service they are intended to provide.

Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) means a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary plan, based on safety data developed by a State Department of Transportation in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 148.

Systematic refers to an approach where an agency deploys countermeasures at all locations across a system.

Systemic safety improvement means an improvement that is widely implemented based on high risk roadway features that are correlated with specific severe crash types.

Transfer means, in accordance with provisions of 23 U.S.C. 126, a State may transfer from an apportionment under section 104(b) not to exceed 50 percent of the amount apportioned for the fiscal year to any other apportionment of the State under that section.